



Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

ACR Appropriateness Criteria™ for acute onset of scrotal pain (without trauma, without antecedent mass).

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Radiology (ACR), Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging. Acute onset of scrotal pain (without trauma, without antecedent mass). Reston (VA): American College of Radiology (ACR); 2001. 4 p. (ACR appropriateness criteria). [46 references]

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT

CATEGORIES

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Acute onset of scrotal pain

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Diagnosis

Evaluation

Screening

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Family Practice

Internal Medicine

Radiology

Urology

INTENDED USERS

Health Plans
Hospitals
Managed Care Organizations
Physicians
Utilization Management

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

To evaluate the appropriateness of radiologic examinations in the investigation and diagnosis of patients with acute onset of scrotal pain without trauma, and without antecedent mass

TARGET POPULATION

Patients with acute onset of scrotal pain

Note: This diagnostic appropriateness discussion is limited to patients with acute pain who have no history of trauma and no history of a mass antecedent to the onset of pain.

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

1. Radionuclide scrotal imaging
2. Scrotal ultrasound, with color flow Doppler and/or power Doppler
3. Scrotal sonography, gray-scale only
4. Radionuclide infection imaging with Ga-67 or In 111 white blood cell (WBC) labeling
5. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Utility of radiologic examinations in the investigation and diagnosis of patients with acute onset of scrotal pain without trauma, and without antecedent mass

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

The guideline developer performed literature searches of recent peer-reviewed medical journals, primarily using the National Library of Medicine's MEDLINE database. The developer identified and collected the major applicable articles.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

The total number of source documents identified as the result of the literature search is not known.

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Not Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

One or two topic leaders within a panel assume the responsibility of developing an evidence table for each clinical condition, based on analysis of the current literature. These tables serve as a basis for developing a narrative specific to each clinical condition.

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus (Delphi)

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Since data available from existing scientific studies are usually insufficient for meta-analysis, broad-based consensus techniques are needed to reach agreement in the formulation of the Appropriateness Criteria. Serial surveys are conducted by distributing questionnaires to consolidate expert opinions within each panel. These questionnaires are distributed to the participants along with the evidence table and narrative as developed by the topic leader(s). Questionnaires are completed by the participants in their own professional setting without influence of the other members. Voting is conducted using a scoring system from 1-9, indicating the least to the most appropriate imaging examination or therapeutic procedure. The survey results are collected, tabulated in anonymous fashion, and redistributed after each round. A maximum of three rounds is conducted and opinions are unified to the highest degree possible. Eighty (80) percent agreement is considered a consensus. If consensus cannot be reached by this method, the panel is convened and group consensus techniques are utilized. The strengths and weaknesses of each test or procedure are discussed and consensus reached whenever possible.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Criteria developed by the Expert Panels are reviewed by the American College of Radiology (ACR) Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and the Chair of the ACR Board of Chancellors.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Clinical Condition: Acute Onset of Scrotal Pain

Variant: Without trauma, without antecedent mass.

Radiologic Exam Procedure	Appropriateness Rating	Comments
Radionuclide scrotal imaging	8	Well-established study. Interpretative experience and careful technique required. Must be performed in a timely manner.
Scrotal ultrasound, with color flow Doppler and/or power Doppler	9	Excellent study that is generally available and has high sensitivity and specificity. Operator and equipment dependent. Requires small parts transducer with slow- flow-enhancing techniques. Must be performed in a timely manner. In equivocal situations, power Doppler may be helpful.
Scrotal sonography, gray-scale only	1	Relatively insensitive to earliest changes secondary to decreased or absent perfusion.
Radionuclide infection imaging with Ga 67 or In 111 WBC labeling	1	Infection diagnosis not obtainable in appropriate time frame. Isolated case reports as incidental finding.
MRI	1	Limited experience in acute scrotum.
<p>Appropriateness Criteria Scale 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1=Least appropriate 9=Most appropriate</p>		

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging

The ability to confidently establish a surgical versus a nonsurgical diagnosis for acute scrotal pain is important. The benefits of early surgery for testicular salvage in ischemic disease, primarily torsion of the spermatic cord, are well known but must be balanced against the costs of operating on the much larger number of patients with nonsurgical disease, primarily acute epididymitis. Although the acute scrotum is defined as acute unilateral scrotal swelling, with or without pain, most patients present with pain as their primary or at least major concurrent complaint. The differential diagnosis of the acute scrotum includes: (1) torsion of the testes, (2) torsion of the testicular appendages, (3) acute epididymitis, (4) mumps orchitis, (5) strangulated hernia, (6) inflammatory type of testicular tumor, (7) acute hydrocele, and (8) traumatic hemorrhage. This diagnostic appropriateness discussion, however, will be limited to patients with acute pain who have no history of trauma and no history of a mass antecedent to the onset of pain. A minor traumatic episode may call attention to a scrotal process; and in the adolescent, just becoming aware of his sexuality may be an enabling event for him to voice his symptoms.

Torsion is decidedly rare in patients older than 35 years. Acute epididymitis is commonly the cause of acute pain in patients younger than 18, very common in patients 19 to 25, and overwhelmingly the etiology in patients older than 25. There are enough exceptions, particularly in patients younger than 30, so that statistics must be used with caution. In this group there is also significant overlap in the presence of clinical factors that may favor torsion (abrupt onset, normal urinalysis) or epididymitis (more gradual onset, abnormal urinalysis).

Radionuclide scrotal imaging (RNSI) is a highly sensitive (90% to 100%) and accurate (90% to 100%) examination in the differential diagnosis of ischemia versus infection. The specificity in the diagnosis of ischemia versus other photon-deficient lesions is slightly lower. There have been many large series reported. The examination and the technique are well established and reproducible, and most imaging specialists and nuclear medicine technologists have been trained in the performance and interpretation of these examinations. With scintigraphy, some problems arise in infants and very small children whose genitalia are small and therefore difficult to image. Photon-deficient areas secondary to hydrocele, spermatocele, uncommonly and edematous appendix testis, and rarely an inguinal hernia can be mistaken for an avascular testis. Because this examination is performed relatively infrequently in many departments, the experience of individual practitioners may be limited. With increasing use of sonography, experience with radionuclide scrotal imaging, especially for more recently trained physicians, is decreasing. Availability and time of examination can also be limiting factors.

The imaging of infected scrotal contents, testis, or epididymitis with the radionuclide infection imaging agents Ga 67 or In 111 white blood cell (WBC) has been noted in isolated case reports. There is, however, no routine indication for their use.

Color Doppler ultrasound (CDU) is also a valuable exam for evaluating scrotal or testicular perfusion. Studies in the early 1990s showed a reasonable sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of torsion comparable to RNSI. The CDU equipment

has improved, and experience has increased, with resultant sensitivity and specificity now ranging from approximately 89% to 100%. Experience with CDU in evaluating the acute scrotum has increased, both for practicing physicians and for those in training. It is readily available at most, if not all, institutions and can be done quickly without any specific preparations necessary. Power Doppler ultrasound can be used in place of, or as an adjunct to, color Doppler ultrasound. Power Doppler has been shown to demonstrate flow where color Doppler does not and, in general, to demonstrate greater flow than color Doppler ultrasound. Power Doppler also improves demonstration of intratesticular flow in prepubertal testes. Doppler sonography is not without problems, and one area of concern has been the young child and particularly the prepubertal child. Studies in children have shown a sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 100%, but these were technically unsuccessful studies and point out the need for experience and properly calibrated equipment when dealing with the young child.

Gray-scale sonography alone is capable of evaluating the cystic or solid nature of scrotal masses and often the signs of an inflamed epididymitis and a necrotic testicle, but it is much less sensitive to the earliest changes secondary to decreased or absent testicular perfusion. In the setting of torsion, a normal homogenous echo pattern is, however, likely to indicate a viable testis, whereas a hypoechoic or inhomogeneous testis is likely to be nonviable.

The evaluation of scrotal disease by magnetic resonance (MR) imaging techniques has been rarely used in the diagnosing of the acute scrotum, although reports of its use in scrotal disease are increasing. In one report, 11 patients with subacute signs and symptoms were evaluated, and the differential diagnosis between ischemia in six patients and infection in five patients could be made. Experimental studies also suggest potential use of MR in acute torsion.

Summary

Both color flow Doppler ultrasound and radionuclide scrotal imaging are valuable techniques for determining the etiology of acute scrotal pain. Although some authors still suggest immediate surgical exploration in patients with a strong clinical impression of testicular ischemia, if either CDU or RNSI is readily available and can be performed within 30 to 60 minutes of the request to simultaneously prepare an operating room, there is ample evidence that fewer patients with infection will be operated on, and also that patients with an ischemic testis will not be treated with antibiotics for infection. The choice of CDU or RNSI should be based on availability and operator experience. In most institutions, availability and experience will favor CDU. If one performs CDU and there remains a question about a diagnosis, RNSI can be done.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations are based on analysis of the current literature and expert panel consensus.

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Appropriate summary of imaging techniques for the investigation and diagnosis of patients with acute scrotal pain
- Power Doppler improves demonstration of intratesticular flow in prepubertal testes.

POTENTIAL HARMS

With scintigraphy, some problems arise in infants and very small children whose genitalia are small and therefore difficult to image. Photon-deficient areas secondary to hydrocele, spermatocele, uncommonly and edematous appendix testis, and rarely an inguinal hernia can be mistaken for an avascular testis. Because this examination is performed relatively infrequently in many departments, the experience of individual practitioners may be limited. With increasing use of sonography, experience with radionuclide scrotal imaging, especially for more recently trained physicians, is decreasing. Availability and time of examination can also be limiting factors.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

An American College of Radiology (ACR) Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists, and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those exams generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other coexistent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness
Timeliness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American College of Radiology (ACR), Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging. Acute onset of scrotal pain (without trauma, without antecedent mass). Reston (VA): American College of Radiology (ACR); 2001. 4 p. (ACR appropriateness criteria). [46 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

1995 (revised 2001)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

American College of Radiology - Medical Specialty Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

The American College of Radiology (ACR) provided the funding and the resources for these ACR Appropriateness Criteria™.

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

ACR Appropriateness Criteria™ Committee, Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Names of Panel Members: Robert A. Older, MD, Principal Author, University of Virginia Medical Center, Charlottesville, Va; Jeffrey H. Newhouse, MD, Panel Chair, Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, NY; Edward I. Bluth, MD,

Ochsner Foundation Hospital, New Orleans, La; William H. Bush, Jr, MD, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Wash; Peter L. Choyke, MD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md; Syed Z. Jafri, MD, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Mich; Arthur T. Rosenfield, MD, Yale-New Haven Hospital, New Haven, Conn; Carl M. Sandler, MD, University of Texas-Houston, Houston, Tex; Arthur J. Segal, MD, Rochester General Hospital, Rochester, NY; Clare Tempany, MD, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass; Martin I. Resnick, MD, University Hospital of Cleveland, Cleveland, Ohio, American Urological Association

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline. It updates a previous version: Holder L, Amis ES, Bigongiari LR, Bluth EI, Bush WH, Choyke PL, Fritzsche P, Newhouse JH, Sandler CM, Segal AJ, Resnick MI, Rutsky EA. Acute onset of scrotal pain (without trauma, without antecedent mass). American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria. Radiology 2000 Jun; 215(Suppl): 709-12.

All Appropriateness Criteria™ topics are reviewed annually and updated as appropriate.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [American College of Radiology \(ACR\) Web site](#).

Portable Digital Assistant (PDA): ACR Appropriateness Criteria™ - Anytime, Anywhere (PDA version) is available from the [ACR Web site](#).

Print copies: Available from the American College of Radiology, Department of Quality & Safety, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191. Telephone: (703) 648-8900.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following is available:

- American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria™ introduction. Reston (VA): American College of Radiology; 6 p. Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [ACR Web site](#).

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This summary was completed by ECRI on May 6, 2001. The information was verified by the guideline developer on June 29, 2001. This summary was updated by ECRI on September 8, 2004. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on October 8, 2004.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

Appropriate instructions regarding downloading, use and reproduction of the American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria™ guidelines may be found at the American College of Radiology's Web site, www.acr.org.

© 1998-2005 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 1/3/2005

FIRSTGOV

